

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/065,112	09/18/2002	Gary Steven Strumolo	201-0625	8209	
32994 7	12/12/2003		EXAMINER		
	W GROUP, PLLC	GORDON, STEPHEN T			
AND FORD G 25 STEVENS	LOBAL TECHNOLO AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER 1		
WEST LAWN		3612			

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		App	lication No.	Applicant(s)	/-				
Office Action Summary		₹ 10/0	065,112	STRUMOLO ET A	L. \				
		Exa	miner	Art Unit	V				
Ÿ.		Step	hen Gordon	3612					
The M Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this commu	nication appears	on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠ Respoi	nsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>27 O<i>ctobe</i></u>	<u>r 2003</u> .						
2a)∏ This ac	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of C	claims								
4a) Of t 5) ☐ Claim(s 6) ☑ Claim(s 7) ☑ Claim(s	 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-6,8 and 15 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,7,9-14 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 17 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Application Papers									
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
12)									
Attachment(s)	au		△ □	(DTO 440) 5	/a)				
2) Notice of Draff	rences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			nary (PTO-413) Paper No(nal Patent Application (PTC					

Application/Control Number: 10/065,112 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 4-6, 8, and 15 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 4.

- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: members 20 (page 8 etc.) and member 22a (page 9). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: "7 9" on page 6 line 17 should apparently be –7-9--. Additionally, "7 9" on page 6 line 20 should apparently be –7-9--. The term: "1 11" on page 8 line 7 should apparently be –1-11--. Finally,: "7 9" on page 10 line 28 should apparently be –7-9--.

Appropriate correction is required.

4. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re claim 9, lines 4-6 are generally awkward and confusing.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/065,112

Art Unit: 3612

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1, 2, and 9-11, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Parr.

Parr teaches a cargo securing apparatus for a vehicle including panels 1-4 and link members 5.

- 7. Claims 1-3, 12, and 14, as best understood, are rejected under 35
- U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lawrence.

Lawrence teaches a cargo securing apparatus for a vehicle including panels 11 and link members 17+.

Re claims 2 and 3, see figure 3 etc.

Re claim 12, element 17 and the corresponding connecting piece on the panels define link members as broadly claimed.

Re claim 14, note elements 15 define pin members as broadly claimed.

- 8. Claims 1-3, 7 and 12-13, as best understood, are rejected under 35
- U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Frazier et al.

Frazier et al teaches a cargo securing apparatus for a vehicle including panels 101, 102 and link members (@103).

Re claim 2, see figure 3 etc.

Re claim 3, the system allows for minor adjustment of panels such that a substantially parallel orientation is maintained as broadly claimed.

Re claim 7, the vertical cylindrical segments of the piano hinge assembly on 103 define link members as broadly claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/065,112

Art Unit: 3612

Re claim 13, elements 105 and 106 read on the bag hooks as broadly claimed.

Page 4

9. Claims 12 and 16, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rhodes.

Rhodes teaches a panel apparatus which could be used on a vehicle as defined and including panels 35+ and link members 34+.

- 10. Claims 17-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note at least Dahlgren teaches a flexible cargo securement apparatus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gordon whose telephone number is (703) 308-2556. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Stephen Gordon

STEPHENT GORDON PREMARY EXAMINER